	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/711.757	YOON, YOUNGBO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robyn Doan	3732
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Robyn Doan.	(3)	
(2) Richard Joel.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 7</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to overcome the 112<sup>th</sup> rejection.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MFEP Section 7.130.4) if a rely to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO quijurements or reverse add or on attached sheet.		